2005-2006 SES EVALUATION REPORT

DEMOGRAPHIC DATA

PROVIDER NAME: Spectra Services

DISTRICTS SERVED: Gary Community School Corporation

OF STUDENTS ENROLLED: 213 # OF STUDENTS COMPLETED: 46

GRADES: PreK-8

TYPE OF DELIVERY: Online/Web-based; Individual tutoring; small group instruction

DESCRIPTION: See http://mustang.doe.state.in.us/dg/ses/detail-vendor2.cfm?recordID=0051

STUDENT/TEACHER

RATIO: Not reported

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting: 80.43%

Overall score: 3.25/4.0

DISTRICT REPORT

% of districts served reporting: 100% (1/1)

District recommends continuation?: Y (1/1 district served)

PRINCIPAL REPORT

% of principals reporting: 25.00%

Overall Score: 2.75/4.0

CUSTOMER SATISFACTION GRADE: B+

SERVICE DELIVERY

PARENT REPORT

% of parents reporting: 80.43%

Overall score: 2.71/4.0

DISTRICT REPORT:

% of districts reporting: 100% (1/1)

Overall score: 100% (16/16 possible points)

PRINCIPAL REPORT:

% of principals reporting: 25.00%

Overall score: 2.88/4.0

ONSITE MONITORING/COMPLIANCE: 4.0/4.0

SERVICE DELIVERY GRADE: B+

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS

COMPLETION RATE: 21.60%

% OF STUDENTS MEETING GOALS

(OF THOSE WHO COMPLETED): 26.09%

TYPE OF ASSESSMENT USED BY PROVIDER:

A+ Assessment

% OF STUDENTS SHOWING GAINS 85.37% (Vocab); 100% (Math);

(BASED ON 100% SAMPLE REPORTED):

AVERAGE GAIN: +15.0 (Vocab); +25.6 (Math)

% CHANGE IN PRE/POST ASSESSMENT: +28.15% (Vocab); +52.89% (Math);

% OF STUDENTS WHO ATTENDED

80% OR MORE SESSIONS: 100%

ISTEP+ DATA (included in academic effectiveness grade):

For each provider, the ISTEP+ scale scores for each student who participated in SES were analyzed for 2005 and 2006 in English/Language Arts and Math. Only students who completed 80% of their programs and had ISTEP+ scores for both years were included in the analysis.

OF STUDENTS COMPLETING 80% OR MORE SESSIONS:

43 (E/LA only)

(only students completing 80% of provider sessions are included in this analysis)

SES STUDENTS ONLY: ISTEP+ RESULTS

For the students served by Spectra in 2005-2006 who met the criteria described above, ISTEP+ scores grew an average of 7 points for English/Language Arts. 56% showed any growth in English/Language Arts. 44% of the students showed one year's worth of growth on ISTEP+ scale score English/Language Arts. The percentage of students passing ISTEP+ in English/Language Arts declined by 11 percentage points.

OF STUDENTS: 9 (E/LA only)

(of students completing 80% of the sessions, only those having ISTEP+ scores for both 2005 and 2006 were included in this analysis)

CHANGE: +6.6 (E/LA)

% SHOWING GROWTH ON

ISTEP+ SCALE SCORE: 56% (E/LA)

% SHOWING 1 YEAR'S

GROWTH ON ISTEP+ 44% (E/LA)

SCALE SCORE:

% PASSING ISTEP+ (2005): 67% (E/LA)

% PASSING ISTEP+ (2006): 56% (E/LA)

NOTE: Although Spectra served students in Mathematics as well as English/Language Arts, fewer than 5 students meet the criteria necessary to be included in the ISTEP+ analysis for Mathematics.

SES AND NON-SES STUDENTS MATCHED: ISTEP+ RESULTS

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS

Where possible, each student who participated in SES was matched with a similar student who did not participate in SES. SES students were matched with other students from their school on a number of characteristics, including grade in school, race, free/reduced lunch eligibility, special education status, limited English proficiency, and 2005 ISTEP+ scale score. The chart below provides the results of the match comparison that demonstrates how the ISTEP+ scores and scale score growth of students who participated in SES compare to similar students who did not participate in SES. For Spectra, 9 matches out of 9 eligible students (100%) were found for English/Language Arts. The same percentage (56%) of the SES group and the non-SES group showed any growth. However, 44% of the students who participated in SES showed one year's growth on ISTEP+, compared to 33% of the students who did not participate in SES. The SES group's average ISTEP+ score grew by 7 points, while the non-participating matched group's average ISTEP+ score grew by 1 point.

ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS						
	#	%	% showing	% showing 1	Average	% passing
Students	Matched	Matched	growth	year's growth	growth	(2006)
SES	9	100%	56%	44%	7	56%
Non-SES	9	100%	56%	33%	1	56%

ACADEMIC EFFECTIVENESS GRADE:

C

OVERALL GRADE: B